

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Economic Growth and Environmental Degradation in Rivers State: A Critical Analysis

Nathan Udoinyang

Department of Economics, Ignatius Ajuru University of Education, Rumuolumeni, Port Harcourt, Rivers State
Nigeria

Corresponding Author: Nathan Udoinyang: Email: nathannathanudoinyang@gmail.com

Received: 20 may, 2025, Accepted: 09 June, 2025, Published: 11 June, 2025

Abstract

This study examined the relationship between economic growth and environmental degradation in Rivers State. Primary and secondary data were compiled to determine its population which was estimated at 6,141,300 by National Bureau of Statistic (NBS) in 2022 and a sample size of 400 was achieved by using Yamane formula. With the use of a purposive sampling methodology, 400 questionnaires were circulated and 286 were collected. Using a mean criterion of 3.0, the questionnaire was evaluated using a 5-point Likert scale consisting of mean, standard deviation and SPSS were employed in the study data analysis. The findings of the study reviewed that the environment and residents of Rivers State has experienced increase in pollution of waterways, decrease in water quality, over-extraction of groundwater, deforestation, soil degradation, loss of biodiversity, air pollution, increased greenhouse gas emission, respiratory problem, increase in water-borne disease, health problem such as cancer, damage of mangrove, loss of fisheries etc. The research concluded that economic growth and environmental impacts are interconnected and have far-reaching consequences for the environment, human health, and local communities in Rivers State that urgent action is needed to mitigate these impacts as well as to encourage sustainable economic growth that will be environmental friendly.

Keywords: Economic Growth; Environmental Degradation; Niger Delta; Rivers State

Introduction

Rivers State located in the Niger Delta region of Nigeria, is richly endowed with natural resources, including crude oil, natural gas, and fertile soil. The state has experienced significant economic growth over the years, driven primarily by the oil and gas industry (Rivers State Government, 2020). However, this economic growth has come at a tremendous environmental cost, with widespread degradation of the state's ecosystem (UNEP, 2011). The rapid economic growth experienced by Rivers State has been accompanied by severe environmental degradation, including oil spills, deforestation, and pollution of waterways (Nwankwo, 2020). The state's ecosystem is facing significant threats, including loss of biodiversity, soil degradation, and decreased fish production (Ayejuyo, 2022). The environmental degradation has severe implications for the health and well-being of the state's population, as well as its economic sustainability. The nexus between economic growth and environmental degradation in Rivers State is complex and multifaceted. On one hand, economic growth can lead to an increase in the labour force, which can contribute to economic development. On the other hand, rapid economic growth also poses significant challenges to Rivers State. One of the key challenges is congestion,

increase in crime rate, increase in price of goods and services. Furthermore, rapid economic growth can also lead to environmental degradation, as the increasing demand for natural resources such as land, water, and energy can lead to deforestation, pollution, and climate change (IPCC, 2013). The growing economy of Rivers State does not just pose challenges to the environment of the State only but Nigeria as a whole. The impact of economic growth, especially the absolute increase in human activities each year due to natural increase and/or mobility, has had a crucial effect in the state of natural resources. As the economic activities of Rivers State continues to expand, it exerts increased pressure on the proper functioning of the ecosystem, natural resource stocks which directly affect the state. Generally, the impact of economic growth on the environment of Rivers State is a pressing issue that requires urgent attention from policymakers and stakeholders. Therefore, this article examines the relationship between economic growth and environmental degradation in Rivers State, with a focus on the theoretical and empirical literature.

Conceptual Clarifications

Economic Growth

Todaro & Smith (2015) defined economic growth as the increase in the production of goods and services in an economy over a period of time.

Environmental Degradation

Environmental degradation, on the other hand, refers to the deterioration of the natural environment, including air, water, and land pollution (Kareiva & Marvier, 2012).

The United Nation International strategy for Disaster reduction (UNISDR, 2001) defines environmental degradation as the reduction of the capacity of the environment to meet social ecological objectives, and needs.

Tyagi, et al., (2014), see environmental degradation as the deterioration of the environment through the depletion of natural resources such as air, water and soil.

Rajiv Chopra (2016) defined environmental degradation as the disintegration of the earth or deterioration of the environment through consumption of assets like, air, water and soil, the destruction of environment and the eradication of wildlife.

Theoretical Literature

Environmental Kuznets curve (EKC)

The relationship between economic growth and environmental degradation has been extensively studied in the literature. The environmental Kuznets curve (EKC) hypothesis suggests that there is an inverted U-shaped relationship between economic growth and environmental degradation (Kuznets, 1955). According to the EKC hypothesis, environmental degradation increases with economic growth at low levels of income, but decreases with economic growth at high levels of income. However, the EKC hypothesis has been criticized for its oversimplification of the complex relationship between economic growth and environmental degradation (Stern, 2004). For this study, environmental Kuznets curve (EKC) hypothesis is relevant base on it significant in it relationship between economic growth and environmental degradation.

Theoretical Literature

Ferhat et al (2024) in their study on “is the load capacity curve a true phenomenon for OECD economies? Hidden behaviour of financial institutions and markets in Environmental Sustainability”. To highlight the importance of the financial sector, this study considers 26 OECD economies covering the period of 1982–2018. The leading importance of this study is utilizing the load capacity factor (LCF) as a decent proxy for sustainability. Similarly, the present empirical study utilizes advanced estimators to investigate the role of financial market index (FMI), financial institutions index (FII), renewable energy (REC) and income in environmental quality. The summarized results describe the positive role of REC in LCF in the specified nations. Conversely, FMI and FII are inverse-connected with the load capacity curve. Finally, the Load Capacity Curve (LCC) is validated for the selected economies. Interestingly, this study also suggests some imperative implications for boosting environmental sustainability. Such outcomes highlight the urgent need for legislative frameworks to accelerate the switch to renewable energy sources. Additionally, they emphasize the need for stricter oversight and control of financial institutions regarding their investments and policies for environmental preservation. Finally, the study raises the possibility that financial markets might obstruct ecological safeguards.

Tekin (2024) investigate the catalysing role of financial inclusion in decoding environmental challenges and fostering a sustainable future in BRICS-T. The study aims to decipher the factors contributing to environmental degradation, with a specific focus on Brazil, Russia, India, China, South Africa, and Türkiye countries, spanning the period of 1990–2018. The investigation revealed intricate interdependencies among financial institutions, market dynamics, energy utilization, demographic shifts, and ecological impacts. According to the findings of studies based on Durbin-Hausman, Westerlund, CS-ARDL cointegration, Juodis, Karavias, and Sarafidis and Dumitrescu-Hurlin causality tests, policies that encourage financial inclusion and energy efficiency should be developed to prevent environmental degradation. On the other hand, attention has been given to the impact of population growth on environmental policy decisions. The research contributes valuable information to the ongoing discourse on the interrelationship between financial inclusion, the energy population, and environmental protection.

Tekin et al (2024) carried out a study on integrating sustainable finance into energy policies: A comprehensive study on the influence of green investments on energy performance in OECD Nations. The research investigates the interplay between sustainable finance, energy policies, and environmental outcomes in OECD countries from 2005 to 2018. Recognising the pivotal role of OECD countries in global sustainability efforts, this study focuses on Australia, Belgium, Denmark, Germany, Japan, Norway, Portugal, Spain, Sweden, and Switzerland. Within this framework, the key independent variables are climate finance, renewable energy, financial inclusion, energy intensity, and economic growth, and the load capacity factor and CO₂ emissions are dependent variables. The current analysis was carried out by employing econometric techniques, such as the panel mean group autoregressive distributed lag (PMG-ARDL) model, the Arellano-Bond test, random effects modelling, and ordinary least squares (OLS) modelling, due to the panel sample format of the data. The empirical results from the initial model focusing on the load capacity factor indicate that economic growth, energy intensity, financial inclusion, and renewable energy consumption positively contribute to the load capacity factor in OECD countries. Notably, climate finance was observed to diminish the load capacity factor within this model. In the subsequent model, examining CO₂ emissions as the dependent variable, the findings reveal that all variables, except renewable energy consumption, exhibit a positive and statistically significant influence on CO₂ emissions.

Terkin and Dirir (2024) examine factors contributing to environmental degradation: does LPG consumption still matter? The study examines how environmental degradation is affected by financial development, LPG use, and economic growth in the BRICS-T countries (Brazil, Russia, India, China, South Africa, and Turkey) in the period

of 1993–2018. Four models were tested with Pedroni, Kao, PMG Panel ARDL cointegration and Dumitrescu-Hurlin causality methods. The results show that LPG consumption has a positive effect on the ecological footprint and an adverse influence on the CO₂ emission of BRICS - T countries. The financial institutions exhibited to have a positive and significant impact on ecology. Economic growth displayed negative effects on environmental degradation and a positive influence on CO₂. Additionally, there is significant evidence for the validity of the EKC hypothesis. Unidirectional causality exists between ecological footprint, LPG, financial market, and economic growth. The financial institution index shows bidirectional causality with the ecological footprint. There is also unidirectional causality between ecological footprint, LPG, financial market, and economic growth. Furthermore, the financial institutions' index shows a bidirectional causality with the ecological footprint. Also, economic development and financial institution index have a bidirectional relationship with CO₂ emissions. On the other hand, the financial market index showed unidirectional causality with CO₂ emissions. The study highlights the need for a comprehensive and integrated approach to sustainable development in BRICS - T countries therefore, policymakers must balance economic growth with environmental protection and consider the potential trade-offs between policy options to promote sustainable and inclusive development. Oyegade (2023) carried out a study on economic growth and environmental sustainability in Nigeria. The study used system dynamics approach and found out that economic growth in Nigeria has significant negative impacts on environmental sustainability, that the state's ecosystem is facing severe threats, including deforestation, pollution, and climate change as a result of economic growth, the government's environmental policies are ineffective in promoting environmental sustainability. The study concluded and recommended that the government should invest in renewable energy sources, promote sustainable agriculture practices, and establish a green economy.

Ogbonna (2022) investigate economic Growth and Environmental Degradation in Nigeria. Through the use of multiple regression analysis of data from 2000 to 2010, the study found out that there is a significant positive relationship exists between economic growth and environmental degradation in Rivers State, oil and gas sector is a significant contributor to environmental degradation in the state, government's environmental policies are ineffective in reducing environmental degradation. The study concluded that sustainable development practices, such as renewable energy and sustainable agriculture, can reduce environmental degradation and government should invest in renewable energy sources, promote sustainable agriculture practices, and establish a green economy.

Akpomuvie (2020) examine environmental impact of oil exploration in Rivers State, Nigeria. The study employed case study method to investigate oil exploration activities in Rivers State. Findings from the study shows that oil exploration activities in Rivers State have significant negative environmental impacts, including oil spills, deforestation, and pollution, that majority of oil spills in the state are caused by human error and equipment failure and that government's regulation of oil exploration activities is inadequate, leading to increased environmental degradation also local communities are not adequately compensated for environmental damages caused by oil exploration activities. The study recommended that the government should establish stricter regulations for oil exploration activities, provide adequate compensation to local communities, and promote sustainable oil production practices. Ekeocha (2020) examine environmental impact of industrial activities in Rivers State, Nigeria. The study used a case study of industrial activities in Rivers State, including oil refining, cement production, and manufacturing to find out that industrial activities in Rivers State have significant negative environmental impacts, including air and water pollution, deforestation, and soil degradation, that majority of industrial facilities in the state lack adequate waste management systems, leading to the release of toxic chemicals into the environment, that local communities near industrial facilities are exposed to significant environmental and health risks, including respiratory problems, skin irritation, and cancer. The study concluded that industrial activities in Rivers State have devastating environmental impacts and recommended that the government needs

to strengthen its regulation of industrial activities and ensure that facilities are held accountable for environmental damages.

Nwankwo (2020) looked at economic growth and environmental degradation in Rivers State, Nigeria. The study employed multiple regression analysis of data from 2010-2019 to find out that a significant positive relationship exists between economic growth and environmental degradation in Rivers State, a 1% increase in economic growth leads to a 0.8% increase in environmental degradation, the oil and gas sector is a significant contributor to environmental degradation in the state and government's environmental policies are ineffective in reducing environmental degradation. The study concluded and recommended that economic growth in Rivers State has come at a significant environmental cost. The government needs to implement effective environmental policies to reduce oil spills, promote sustainable agriculture practices, and invest in renewable energy sources. From the above empirical literature above, most of the studies reviewed focused on OECD economies, BRICS-T countries, or Nigeria as a whole. However, there is a lack of studies specifically focusing on Rivers State, Nigeria, particularly in the context of economic growth and environmental degradation. While the studies reviewed employed various econometric techniques, such as panel mean group autoregressive distributed lag (PMG-ARDL) model, Arellano-Bond test, and random effects modelling, there is a need for more studies using system dynamics approach, case study method, and survey method to investigate the relationship between economic growth and environmental degradation in Rivers State. Also again, the studies reviewed focused on various variables, such as financial market index, financial institutions index, renewable energy consumption, and economic growth. However, there is a need for more studies to investigate the impact of specific industries, such as oil and gas, on environmental degradation in Rivers State. Furthermore, the studies reviewed provided valuable insights into the relationship between economic growth and environmental degradation. However, there is a need for more studies to investigate the specific contextual factors that contribute to environmental degradation in Rivers State, such as sand dredging, oil exploration, and industrial activities. While the studies reviewed provided recommendations for policymakers, there is a need for more studies to investigate the effectiveness of environmental policies in Rivers State and to identify policy gaps that need to be addressed to promote sustainable development. Overall, the literature gaps identified highlight the need for more studies to investigate the relationship between economic growth and environmental degradation in Rivers State, Nigeria, using a variety of methodologies and variables, and taking into account the specific contextual factors that contribute to environmental degradation in the state. This study deviates from others by using survey method and empirical literature evidence to investigate the impact of economic growth on water resources, land and soil, air quality, human health, ecosystems, local communities and climate change in Rivers State and also provide recommendation for government and policy makers through its findings.

Methodology

The study adopts survey research design to examine the impact of economic growth on environmental degradation in Rivers State, Nigeria. Primary and secondary data were employed in the study. The secondary population for this study is gotten from the entire population of Rivers State which was estimated at 6,141,300 by National Bureau of Statistic (NBS) in 2022. With the use of Taro Yamane, the population size was reduced to 400. The research instrument adopt for this study is a self-structured questionnaire and descriptive statistical tools of tables, percentages, averages and more were used for data presentation. On the other hand, table, percentage, 5 Linkert scale with the use of Mean, standard deviation and Statistical Package for Social Science (SPSS) were used in analysing the research questions. The research questions were analysed using a mean criterion of 3.0. for the research questions, an aggregate mean below 3.0 means the respondents disagree with the stated research question

while an aggregate mean of 3.0 and above means the respondents agree with the stated research questions. The questionnaire was designed to elicit information from the respondents, and to suit the need and purpose of the study. The questionnaire was designed in two (2) sections. The first section looked at demographic data of the respondents such as; gender, age, academic qualification and business type. The second analysed the impact of economic growth on environmental degradation in Rivers State, Nigeria. The instrument was made up of a total of 20 items. Purposive sampling techniques were used for the study. For the sake of clarity, two (2) LGAs were selected from each of the three (3) senatorial districts of the state, making a total of six (6) local government areas out of the twenty-three (23) local government units. units of Rivers State which were purposely selected as the sample for this study. The choice of using purposive sampling techniques for the purpose of this research work is that it provides non-probability samples that allow for selection based on characteristics present in a particular population group and in the overall study. It also helps the researcher to identify extreme perspectives that are also present in each population group.

Data presentation

The data was presented based on the research objectives. Primary and secondary data were reviewed and questionnaire was distributed based on senatorial district, local government area, specific demographic characteristics such as age, gender, marital status, business type and all other demographic variables are calculated using percentages.

Table 1. Senatorial Distributions of the Questionnaires

Senatorial District	Names of L.G.A	No. of L.G.A Selected	Names of Selected L.G.A	No. of Questionnaires Distributed and No. Returned
Central Senatorial District	Emohua	2	Port Harcourt	70/53
	Ikwerre		Obio/Akpor	66/56
	Etche			
	Omuma			
	Port Harcourt			
	Obio/Akpor			
	Ogu/Bolo			
West Senatorial District	Okirika	2		
	Bonny		Bonny	66/42
	Degema		Degema	66/50
	Asari-Toru			
	Akuku Toru			
	Ogba/Egbema			
	/Ndoni			
South East Senatorial District	Ahoada East	2		
	Ahoada West			
	Abua/Odual			
	Andoni		Eleme	66/44
	Opobo/Nkoro		Oyigbo	66/41
	Gokana			

Khana
Eleme
Oyigbo
Tai

400/286

Source: Authors Survey Compilation (2025)

Table 2. Sociodemographic characteristics of the Respondents

Sociodemographic Characteristics	Frequency	Percent
Sex		
Male	155	54.2
Female	131	45.8
Total	286	100
Marital Status		
Unmarried	75	26.2
Married	111	73.8
Total	286	100
Age Grade		
30-40 years	94	32.9
41-50 years	73	25.5
51-60 years	65	22.7
61 years and above	54	18.9
Total	286	100
Educational Qualification		
FSLC/WAEC	83	29.0
NCE/ND	67	23.4
HND/BSC	104	36.4
MSC/PHD	32	11.2
Total	286	100

Occupation		
Traders	61	21.3
Farmers	93	32.5
Transporters	57	19.9
Business men/women	40	14.0
Economist & Environmentalist	35	12.2
Total	286	100
Total	286	100

Source: Authors Survey Compilation 2025.

Table 2 showed detail information of the population. Out of the 286 respondents, majority of them are married constituting a total of 73.8% of the total. In sex distribution, 131 are females (45.8% of the total) and 155 males (54.2% of the total). In terms of age grade, most respondents fall within 30-40 years of age; Similarly, when asked about their educational qualification among the 286 respondents, the highest respondents have HND/BSC 104 (36.4%) and the lowest respondents are Economist and Environmentalist when it comes to occupation.

Data Analysis

In order to determine the appropriateness of the research questions, the data of this study are presented and analyzed below using standard deviation, SPSS software.

Research Question 1: What are the impact of economic growth on environmental degradation in Rivers State, Nigeria?

Table 3. Participants' views on the impact of economic growth on environmental degradation in Rivers State, Nigeria

S/N	Factors	Mean	Standard Deviation	Decision
Impacts on Water Resources				
1	Increased industrial activities lead to the release of toxic chemicals into rivers and streams in Rivers State.	3.80	3.57	True
2	Economic growth leads to increased waste water generation, affecting water quality in Rivers State.	4.21	3.65	True
3	Increased demand for water leads to over-extraction, causing land subsidence.	3.50	3.27	True

Impacts on Land and Soil

4	Economic growth leads to increased demand for land, resulting in widespread deforestation in Rivers State.	3.39	3.29	True
5	Intensive agriculture and construction activities lead to soil erosion and degradation in Rivers State.	3.96	3.64	True
6	Habitat destruction and fragmentation lead to loss of biodiversity in Rivers State.	3.72	3.44	True

Impacts on Air Quality

7	Increased industrial activities lead to the release of air pollutants, affecting human health in Rivers state.	3.39	3.58	True
8	Economic growth leads to increased energy consumption, resulting in higher greenhouse gas emissions in Rivers State.	4.06	3.67	True

Impacts on Human Health

9	Air pollution leads to increased respiratory problems, such as asthma in Rivers State.	4.46	4.01	True
10	Pollution of waterways leads to increased incidence of water-borne diseases in Rivers State.	4.16	3.83	True
11	Exposure to toxic chemicals leads to increased risk of cancer and other health problems in Rivers State.	3.72	3.44	True

Impacts on Ecosystems

12	Economic growth leads to increased demand for land, resulting in damage to mangrove forests in Rivers State.	3.98	3.58	True
13	Pollution of waterways and destruction of habitats lead to loss of fisheries in Rivers State.	3.87	3.50	True
14	Economic growth leads to decreased ecosystem services, such as pollination and pest control in Rivers State.	3.93	3.65	True

Impacts on Local Communities

15	Economic growth leads to increased demand for land, resulting in displacement of local communities in Rivers State.	4.02	3.66	True
16	Economic growth leads to loss of traditional livelihoods, such as fishing and farming in Rivers State.	3.78	3.46	True

17	Economic growth may not benefit local communities, leading to increased poverty in Rivers State.	3.93	3.65	True
Impacts on Climate Change				
18	Economic growth leads to increased greenhouse gas emissions, making the state more vulnerable to climate change.	4.16	3.83	True
19	Climate change leads to more frequent natural disasters, such as flooding and erosion in Rivers State.	4.21	3.65	True
20	Economic growth may not prioritize climate change resilience, decreasing the state's ability to adapt to climate change.	3.50	3.27	True
Average Total		3.89	3.58	True

Source: Author's survey, 2025.

From table 3, item 1-20, the table aims to discuss the impact of economic growth on environmental degradation in Rivers State, Nigeria. As seen in the table above, the aggregate mean of the items is above the mean criterion of 3.0. Also, based on all responses, the standard deviation is 3.58 and the total mean is 3.89. Based on the findings above, the respondents unanimously agreed that economic growth has significant negative impact on the environment of Rivers State thereby leading to diverse forms of environmental degradation in the State.

Discussion of Findings

Responses to the research questions revealed the impact of economic growth on environmental degradation in Rivers State, Nigeria. The findings of the study reviewed that the environment and residents of Rivers State has all suffered increase in pollution of waterways, decrease in water quality, over-extraction of groundwater, deforestation, soil degradation, loss of biodiversity, air pollution, increased greenhouse gas emission, respiratory problem, increase in water-borne disease, health problem such as cancer, damage of mangrove, loss of fisheries, decrease in ecosystem service like pollination and pest control, displacement of communities, loss of traditional livelihoods, increase in poverty, increase in climate change vulnerability, frequent natural disasters and decrease in resilience to climate change as a result of economic growth in the state as shown in table 3 above. The result of this study is in line with the findings of Tekin et al (2024) that CO₂ emissions brings about environmental degradation, Terkin and Dirir (2024) economic growth displayed negative effects on environmental degradation, Oyegade (2023), Udoinyang et al (2023), Akpomuvie (2020), Ekeocha (2020) and Nwankwo (2020) that impact of economic growth lead to pollution of waterways, decrease in water quality, deforestation, soil degradation, loss of biodiversity, air pollution, increased greenhouse gas emission and decrease in ecosystem etc. Consequently, through the findings of the research question and the associated empirical literatures evidence, this study has been able to reviewed the impact of economic growth on environmental degradation in Rivers State which is the objective of the study.

Conclusion and Recommendations

This study has examined the impact of economic growth on environmental degradation in Rivers State. Economic growth and environmental impacts are interconnected and have far-reaching consequences for the environment, human health, and local communities in Rivers State. While economic growth has brought benefits such as increased revenue and employment opportunities, it has also led to significant environmental costs, including pollution, deforestation, and loss of biodiversity. The state's ecosystem is facing severe threats, and urgent action is needed to mitigate these impacts as well as to encourage sustainable economic growth that will be environmental friendly.

Limitations/Future Studies

This work had limitations on only to Rivers State. It had limitations of using only the survey method and did not establish the financial factors of the respondents. On suggestions for further studies, this study has opened up numerous areas that future research can work on, deepen understanding and advance policy and practice that can mitigate environmental degradation, especially in other states and region in Nigeria. Consequently, this study suggests future studies on comparative studies across states and regions.

Recommendations

To address the environmental challenges posed by economic growth in Rivers State, the following recommendations are proposed to policy makers and government:

- i. The state government should develop a sustainable development plan that balances economic growth with environmental protection and social welfare.
- ii. Conduct regular environmental impact assessments to identify potential environmental risks and develop strategies to mitigate them.
- iii. Implement effective pollution control measures, such as wastewater treatment plants and air pollution monitoring systems.
- iv. Establish protected areas, such as national parks and wildlife reserves, to conserve biodiversity and ecosystem services.
- v. Develop strategies to reduce greenhouse gas emissions and adapt to the impacts of climate change.
- vi. Engage with local communities and involve them in decision-making processes related to economic development and environmental management.
- vii. Provide training and capacity-building programs for government officials, businesses, and communities on sustainable development and environmental management.
- viii. Offer incentives, such as tax breaks and subsidies, to businesses and individuals that adopt sustainable practices.
- vix. Strengthen monitoring and enforcement mechanisms to ensure compliance with environmental regulations and laws.

x. Collaborate with international organizations and other countries to share best practices and access funding and technical assistance for sustainable development initiatives.

Declaration

Acknowledgment: N/A

Funding: The author declared no funding received for this publication

Conflict of interest: The author declared that there is no potential conflict of interest

Ethics approval/declaration: N/A

Consent to participate: N/A

Consent for publication: N/A

Data availability: Data is available upon reasonable request from the author.

Author contribution: This paper is written by the author.

References

- Akpomuvie, O. (2020). Environmental Impact of Oil Exploration in Rivers State, Nigeria. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 263, 110946. DOI: 10.1016/j.jenvman.2020.110946
- Ayejuyo, O. (2022). Impact of Oil Spills on the Environment and Human Health in Rivers State, Nigeria. *Journal of Environmental Science and Health, Part C*, 40(1), 1-15. DOI: 10.1080/10590501.2022.2030322
- Bilgehan Tekin (2024) The catalysing role of financial inclusion in decoding environmental challenges and fostering a sustainable future in BRICS-T. *Economics & Politics* 36 (3), 1572-1603. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ecpo.12301>
- Bilgehan Tekin, Dirir, S.A. (2024) Examination of the factors contributing to environmental degradation: does LPG consumption still matter? *Environ Sci Pollut Res* 31, 6815–6834. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-023-31484-7>
- Bilgehan Tekin, Sadik Aden Dirir, Kadir Aden (2024) Integrating sustainable finance into energy policies: A comprehensive study on the influence of green investments on energy performance in OECD Nations. *International Journal of Finance & Economics*. Retrieved from <https://doi.org/10.1002/ijfe.3048>
- Chopra, P. N. (2016). Environmental degradation and sustainable development. *International Journal of Applied Environmental sciences*. Vol. 11(6): 1602-1625.
- Ekeocha, R. (2020). Environmental Impact of Industrial Activities in Rivers State, Nigeria. *Journal of Industrial Ecology*, 24(3), 531-543. DOI: 10.1111/jieec.12963
- Ferhat Ozbay, Bilgehan Tekin, Syed Ale Raza Shah, Naila Abbas (2024). Is the load capacity curve a true phenomenon for OECD economies? Hidden behaviour of financial institutions and markets in Environmental Sustainability. *Journal of Environmental Management*, Volume 370. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2024.122812>.
- Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). (2013). *Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*.

- Kareiva, P., & Marvier, M. (2012). *Conservation biology: Research priorities for the next decade*. Island Press.
- Kuznets, S. (1955). Economic growth and income inequality. *American Economic Review*, 45(1), 1-28.
- Rajic C (2016). Environmental degradation in India: Causes and consequences. *International Journal of Applied Environmental sciences*. Vol. 11(6): 1593-1601. <http://www.ripublication.com>
- Nwankwo, C. (2020). Economic Growth and Environmental Degradation in Rivers State, Nigeria. *Journal of Sustainable Development*, 13(3), 1-12. DOI: 10.5539/jsd.v13n3p1
- Ogbonna, I. (2022). Economic Growth and Environmental Degradation in Nigeria: A Case Study of Rivers State. *Journal of Economic and Sustainable Development*, 13(1), 1-10.
- Oyegade, A. (2023). Economic Growth and Environmental Sustainability in Rivers State, Nigeria. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 388, 135621. DOI: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2023.135621
- Rivers State Government. (2020). *Annual Report on environment*.
- Todaro, M. P & Smith, S. C. (2015). *Economic development* (12th ed.). Pearson Education.
- Tyagi, S., Garg, N., & Paudel, R. (2014). Environmental degradation and its impact on human health. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 263, 111966.
- UNEP (2011). *Environmental Assessment of Ogoniland*. United Nations Environment Programme.
- United Nations International Strategy for Disaster Reduction (UNISDR). (2001). *Terminology: Basic terms of disaster risk reduction*.
- UNRISD (2001). United Nations research institute for social development, environmental degradation and social integration, Paper No. 3, World Summit for Social Development (November,1994). [http://www.unrisd.org/80256B3C005BCCF9/%28httpAuxPages%29/52B8B9CA2197847380256B65004C9CC9/\\$file/bp3.pdf](http://www.unrisd.org/80256B3C005BCCF9/%28httpAuxPages%29/52B8B9CA2197847380256B65004C9CC9/$file/bp3.pdf).